

1st April 1961]

**SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR :** Mr. Chairman, Sir, I want to suggest one small matter to the Government. In clause 1 (3) it is stated that the Act shall come into force on such date as the State Government may, by notification, appoint. I think this may be given effect to immediately because this is a good thing. Since the Bill has been passed, they need not have a notification provision to bring it into force. They can bring it into force even from the 1st of April, unless they say it is 1st April and it is April Fools day. I do not see any other reason for putting it into force by another notification. The Government can very well do it immediately. That is all my submission, Sir.

\* **THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN :** The notification provision is there because it was thought it would not be possible to pass the measure before the 31st of March 1961. If we were hopeful of getting the Bill through before that date, we would have put it as the 1st April 1961. So this is only to give the Government time to notify after the Bill is passed.

**MR. CHAIRMAN :** The question is—

“ That the Indian Stamp (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 14 of 1961), as passed by the Legislative Assembly be, passed.”

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

(4) **THE MADRAS CHIT FUNDS BILL, 1961 (L.A. BILL NO. 5 OF 1961)**

\* **THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN :** Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

“ That the following further amendment made by the Legislative Assembly in respect of the Madras Chit Funds Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 5 of 1960), as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration :—

*Clause 64.*

In clause 64, as renumbered, *omit* the words ‘or as compensation for the composition of any offence under this Act.’”

Sir, you will remember that this House deleted clause 59 relating to composition of offences. But in clause 64 provision has been made for composition of offences. That has not been removed when we discussed the clause here. Therefore the further amendment made by the Assembly may be accepted.

**MR. CHAIRMAN :** Motion moved—

“ That the following further amendment made by the Legislative Assembly in respect of the Madras Chit Funds Bill, 1961

[Mr. Chairman]

[1st April 1961]

(L.A. Bill No. 5 of 1960), as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration :—

*Clause 64.*

In clause 64, as renumbered, *omit* the words ' or as compensation for the composition of any offence under this Act.'

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : I would only like to know whether this has again to go to the Assembly. That is all.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : No, this is only an amendment which was carried in the Assembly. It has come before the House for concurrence.

MR. CHAIRMAN : They have accepted the amendment made by this House and made a consequential amendment. That has come here for concurrence.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Yes.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

“ That the following further amendment made by the Legislative Assembly in respect of the Madras Chit Funds Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 5 of 1960) as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration :—

*Clause 64.*

In clause 64, as renumbered, *omit* the words ' or as compensation for the composition of any offence under this Act.'

The motion was put and carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN : I shall now put the amendment to the vote of the House. The question is :

“ In clause 64, as renumbered, *omit* the words “ or as compensation for the composition of any offence under this Act.”

The amendment was put and carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The House will now adjourn and meet again at 3 p.m. on Monday, the 3rd April 1961.

The House then adjourned.

## VI. PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

264. *Twelfth Report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation of the Legislative Assembly (Second Assembly).*

265. *Notification issued with G.O. Ms. No. 3322, Home, dated 21st October 1960 regarding amendment to the Madras Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940.*